Need for Emergency Epinephrine to Treat Food Allergy Reactions in Schools in the Hortaleza District in Madrid
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In Spain, almost 2 million children (1 916 621 students) eat meals provided by a catering service at 15 646 schools every day [1]. The school environment presents significant challenges in terms of managing potentially life-threatening food allergies. Over a 2-year period, schools can expect approximately 18% of students to have at least 1 food-allergic reaction while at school [2]. A population-based study conducted in Alcorcón, Madrid, found that food-induced anaphylaxis was most common in children aged 0 to 4 years old in both the general population and children seen at an emergency department [3]. During the period 1998 to 2011, the Spanish hospital system recorded a 1.89-fold increase in admissions for anaphylaxis. The increase was particularly evident in patients aged 0 to 14 years old (1.65- to 3.22-fold increase up to 2009 and 4.09- to 12.59-fold increase up to 2011) and in all age groups in the case of food anaphylaxis (2.78-fold increase up to 2009 and 8.74-fold increase up to 2011) [4]. Although there are no specific data on fatalities in school settings, a crude cumulative incidence of 0.9 episodes of anaphylaxis per 1000 emergency episodes (95% CI, 0.8-1.1) and 0.8 episodes per 1000 people (95% CI, 0.7-0.9) has been described [5].

The food allergy guidelines sponsored by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases cite a study that revealed that a significant percentage of children with food allergies do not have access to epinephrine at school [6]. Given that most children spend a significant amount of time at school, proper management of food allergies in this setting is critical. In brief, proper prevention and preparedness measures are essential during a child’s transition from home to school.

Most experts agree that students with food allergies should have an emergency action plan (AP), similar to those used for students with asthma [7]. In Spain, schools have access to a food allergy AP designed by the AEPNAA (Spanish Association for People with Food and Latex Allergies) (http://www.aepnaa.org/te-podemos-ayudar/protocolo-de-actuacion-ante-una-reaccion-alergica-en-la-escuela-aepnaa-47) and endorsed by the Spanish scientific community. It provides specific instructions on how to treat children in the event of exposure or reaction to a food allergen.

We previously described the prevalence of food allergen-free diets in school canteens in the Hortaleza District of Madrid based on clinical reports by specialists [8]. Since 2009, we have been following students diagnosed with food allergies in the schools in this district, and implementing measures related to food safety information, training, and control. One example is a letter sent to all families by the school principals insisting on the importance of drawing up an AP for children with food allergies. In this article, we describe the need for epinephrine auto-injector prescription among children with food-induced allergic reactions at schools in the Hortaleza District during the school year 2013-2014.

The results reported correspond to children at all 86 schools in the Hortaleza District (100% participation in the survey). A previously administered structured questionnaire on special diets [8], including information about the AP (available online), was completed for the study period. For students without an AP, only the type of allergen-free diet they were on was noted. Other data recorded included sex, age, and type of school. Frequencies were computed in the winter of 2015. The Bonferroni correction was used to account for multiple comparisons. The relationships between food variables and epinephrine prescription were determined by cross-tabulation, with Monte Carlo estimation of exact P values. Bonferroni correction was also used to compare column proportions. These tests were performed in SPSS V23.

Of the 20 653 children served meals in the school year analyzed, 1182 (5.7%) were served an allergen-free diet. Of these, 251 (21.2%) had a food allergy AP and 185 (72.1% of all those with an AP and 15.6% of those with a reported food allergy) had a prescription for epinephrine use. Nine of the children with an auto-injector were under 3 years old, 60 were between 3 and 5 years old, 104 were aged between 6 and 14 years, and 12 were 15 years or older. These students accounted for 0.8% of all children served allergen-free diets in the school year studied. The highest proportions of epinephrine use were observed in children with allergies to shellfish (84.2%), fish (82.1%), egg (78.7%), peanuts (76.9%), milk (76.1%), and nuts (76.1%) (Table). The differences, however, with respect to the population of all children with food allergies were not statistically significant (P>0.05). No association was detected between food allergy groups and proportion of epinephrine use.
There was a low proportion of APs drawn up by allergists in this school population. The proportion of auto-injector prescription (15.6%) was lower than that described recently by Múgica-García et al. (21.53%) [9]. According to data from the European Anaphylaxis Registry, food is the most common elicitor of anaphylaxis in children, and the main triggers are hen’s egg, cow’s milk and nuts [10]. These triggers were also common in our series, but fish and shellfish allergies were the most frequent reasons for epinephrine prescription. The difference could be due to a socioeconomic factor, as previously reported [8], as fish and shellfish allergies were more prevalent in private or grant-aided schools, which are characterized by higher-income families and greater consumption of these foods ($P$ < .001).

The low proportion of children under 3 years old with epinephrine injectors can be explained by the fact that only 5.29% of the schools surveyed had children in this age group; the most common food allergies in this group are egg and milk (55.67% and 40.21%, respectively) [8]. Food-induced anaphylaxis is increasing in Madrid and is more common in children aged 0 to 4 years than in other age groups [3]. Particular attention should thus be paid to prevention and care measures in this young population, especially in day care centers. Measures extending beyond those recommended during regular visits to allergists are needed to increase the use of epinephrine auto-injectors.

We will continue to reinforce food allergy notification in our health district. This is the first large urban school district in Spain to develop and implement a food allergy survey, which has helped 185 students and school staff to avoid potential morbidity and mortality due to food allergy. The impact of this initiative during its first year underscores the need to stock epinephrine in schools in our district.
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Proteolytic enzymes are widely used in laundry detergent products. The high-molecular-weight (HMW) antigens present in these enzymes are known to induce occupational asthma (OA) via a specific IgE response with a clear exposure-response relationship [1,2]. Aiming to reduce levels of exposure among employees, most modern detergent factories now only use encapsulated enzymes. However, despite the prevention strategies established, enzyme-induced OA is still reported [3]. We describe a case of OA to protease associated with a late-phase neutrophilic cutaneous response and discuss other possible mechanisms involved in such uncommon cases of IgE-induced OA.

We investigated a 47-year-old woman (ex-smoker with a 15 pack-year history) who had been working for more than 12 years as a process operator in a modern dishwashing tablet factory. This previously healthy patient (with no personal or family history of allergy) had been employed since 2003 and was occasionally exposed to detergent enzymes (principally amylase and protease) incorporated into the tablets produced. Although the manufacturing process used encapsulated enzymes, our patient was briefly but frequently exposed to crushed capsules during daily maintenance procedures (once or twice a day with a cumulative exposure time of 30-60 minutes). Since 2005 she had progressively complained of respiratory symptoms (cough, shortness of breath, and wheezing), the occurrence of which was clearly modulated by work-related exposure, as symptoms declined during nonworking periods. Initial investigations conducted while...
the patient was still working did not show baseline airway obstruction (forced expiratory volume in the first second [FEV₁], 2.46L; 96% of predicted value; FEV₁/forced vital capacity, 74%), but did show an increased fraction of exhaled nitric oxide (FeNO), at 322.95 ppb. A methacholine challenge showed nonspecific bronchial hyperresponsiveness with a 20% decrease in FEV₁ for a cumulative dose of 1800 µg of 1% methacholine (roughly 4 mg/mL) associated with compatible respiratory symptoms (cough and wheezing). Serial monitoring of peak expiratory flow at and away from work over a 6-week period allowed the calculation of an Oasys score of 2.1 (normal, <2.5). The blood count was normal and specific IgE (ImmunoCAP Specific IgE) for the suspected protease was 5.1 kU/L (reference value, <0.35 kU/L). After a 7-week period of avoidance of exposure to detergent enzymes (nonworking period), during which the symptoms regressed completely, further investigations were performed. A specific inhalation challenge (SIC) to crushed encapsulated protease (Excellenz P1000) was performed according to recent guidelines [4] with a 20% concentration powder diluted in lactose. The duration of exposure (dust dipping method) was gradually increased (10 seconds, 1 minute, 5 minutes, 10 minutes, and 15 minutes). During the fifth step of exposure, ie, at 15 minutes, the SIC showed a positive reaction with chest tightness, dyspnea, and wheezing, associated with a 23% fall in FEV₁ compared with baseline. Reversibility was obtained within 15 minutes of the end of the SIC with a β₂-agonist, but delayed respiratory symptoms and bronchoconstriction emerged 6 hours later (16% fall in FEV₁ compared with baseline) and during the night (15 hours after the SIC), prompting β₂-agonist aerosol therapy. FeNO and sputum eosinophil counts evaluated at baseline and 24 hours after the SIC showed an increase in FeNO from 100.65 to 232.3 ppb, and an increase in eosinophil count from 1% to 65%. A skin prick test (SPT) with a 1% solution of crushed encapsulated protease was positive, with a wheal diameter of 10 mm (vs 5 mm for the histamine control and 0 mm for the saline control). Control prick tests with crushed encapsulated protease were negative in 3 volunteers who had never been exposed. The patient presented a late cutaneous reaction (at 24 hours) characterized by erythema and edema on the forearm where the SPT had been performed. Histologic examination of the cutaneous biopsy specimen showed neutrophilic urticaria with dermal edema associated with a sparse perivascular and interstitial infiltrate mostly composed of neutrophils. A diagnosis of OA due to detergent protease was made.

Evidence now suggests that patients with OA should be differentiated according to the agent suspected to be involved (ie, HMW vs LMW) [5-7]. HMW agents have been shown to cause OA through an IgE-dependent mechanism [8], and the characteristics of the airway inflammation (increased FeNO and eosinophil count following the SIC [5,6]) are largely related to a type 2 helper T cell (TH2) activation profile. In our case, such an IgE-mediated mechanism is supported by the presence of specific IgE to the suspected protease, the immediate positive reaction to the SPT and SIC, and the increase in FeNO and sputum eosinophil counts after the SIC. However, bronchial neutrophilic inflammation has also been reported in some OA patients exposed to HMW agents [6]. In our observation, the 24-hour delay in the SPT reaction to protease combined with the neutrophilic appearance of the reaction in the skin biopsy allowed us to further hypothesize on the possible mechanisms involved in this particular case of HMW-induced OA. Indeed, even if the precise underlying mechanisms of bronchial neutrophilic inflammation remain unknown, airway exposure to allergens in sensitized individuals may induce a TH17 response with the release of IL-17. This TH17-associated immune response (involving IL-1 at the origin of the response) seems to play a key role in the development of a neutrophilic phenotype asthma (characterized by greater airflow obstruction, severity, and steroid resistance) by inducing allergic airway inflammation via the expression of various proinflammatory mediators [9]. Neutrophilic urticaria, in turn, is a rare variant of urticaria that has been reported to be frequently associated with extracutaneous inflammation, supporting a role for IL-1 in driving a particular inflammatory reaction [10]. The association of IgE-induced OA and a late-phase neutrophilic cutaneous reaction in the case presented here could therefore further support the possible implication of a neutrophil-driven inflammation component that could satisfy the proposed terminology of neutrophilic urticaria with systemic inflammation (NUSI) [9], and that may be also associated with the work environment. However, these findings may be also the result of a direct inflammation mechanism induced

| Table. Results of Specific Inhalation Challenge to Crushed Encapsulated Protease (Excellenz P1000) |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| FEV₁ (L)    | FEV₁ (%)    | FEV₁/FVC    | FeNO (ppb)  |
| Baseline    | 2.40        | 94          | 0.72        | 100.65      |
| 10 s        | 2.43        | 95          | 0.73        | -           |
| 1 min       | 2.43        | 95          | 0.75        | -           |
| 5 min       | 2.40        | 94          | 0.72        | -           |
| 10 min      | 2.29        | 90          | 0.72        | -           |
| 15 min      | 1.85        | 72          | 0.66        | -           |
| Post β₂     | 2.42        | 95          | 0.74        | -           |
| Post 30 min | 2.54        | 100         | 0.76        | -           |
| Post 60 min | 2.45        | 96          | 0.74        | -           |
| Post 2 h    | 2.43        | 95          | 0.74        | -           |
| Post 3 h    | 2.43        | 95          | 0.74        | -           |
| Post 4 h    | 2.27        | 89          | 0.72        | -           |
| Post 5 h    | 2.12        | 83          | 0.71        | -           |
| Post 6 h    | 2.02        | 79          | 0.68        | -           |
| Post β₂     | 2.54        | 100         | 0.77        | -           |
| Post 12 h   | 2.40        | 94          | 0.73        | -           |
| Post 24 h   | 2.22        | 87          | 0.72        | 232.3       |

Abbreviations: β₂, short-acting β₂-agonist (salbutamol, 400 µg); FeNO, fractional exhaled nitric oxide; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in the first second; FVC, forced vital capacity; pred, predicted.
by the protease itself at the skin level, as we did not observe any bronchial neutrophilic reaction in sputum at 24 hours.

To our knowledge, this is the first report of OA to detergent protease documented through current guidelines [4,7] associated with a late-phase neutrophilic cutaneous reaction, suggesting the potential contribution of neutrophilic inflammation in addition to the known IgE-dependent mechanisms. Of course our hypothesis is based on this single case (representing per se a limitation), but further studies may be helpful to evaluate with greater precision this possible new OA phenotype.
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Terbinafine is an active allylamine derivative that inhibits squalene epoxidase in ergosterol biosynthesis, resulting in the accumulation of intracellular squalene, which is toxic to fungal cells [1]. On occasions, however, terbinafine can also cause several types of cutaneous adverse reactions. Herein, we report a case of psoriatic erythroderma caused by terbinafine and further analyze a possible pathogenetic role for IL-23 in psoriasiform drug eruption.

An 84-year-old woman had noticed scaly erythematous plaques on the trunk and extremities and subsequently developed generalized scaly erythema. She was referred to our department for evaluation. She had taken terbinafine to treat tinea unguium for 1 month. There was no personal or family history of psoriasis. Physical examination revealed erythroderma with a silvery-white scaly erythema on the scalp (Figure A) and a generalized scaly erythema without pustules on the trunk (Figure B) and extremities (Figure C), indicating erythroderma. She had no fever. Laboratory tests revealed an elevation of C-reactive protein at 2.32 mg/dL (normal, <0.2 mg/dL). Examination of a skin biopsy specimen taken from a scaly erythema showed parakeratosis and acanthosis accompanied by a less granular layer (Figure D). Additional findings included neutrophil and eosinophil infiltration in the upper and middle dermis and dyskeratotic keratinocytes in the epidermis with a neutrophil microabscess in a horny layer.

---

Figure. Clinical manifestations and laboratory findings. A-C, Clinical manifestations consisting of annular scaly erythematous plaques on the scalp (A), trunk (B), and left thigh (C). D, Histopathology of the skin showing parakeratotic hyperkeratosis with acanthosis and localized thinning of the granular layer and infiltrated neutrophils in the epidermis and dermis. E, IL-23 concentrations. IL-23 concentrations were measured by ELISA in the culture supernatant of a lymphocyte stimulation test in which the patient’s peripheral blood mononuclear cells (3 x 105 cells) were stimulated with terbinafine for 72 hours. Results are presented as mean ± SEM. The P value was calculated using the t test. *P<.05.
The clinical course and laboratory findings suggested psoriatic erythroderma possibly due to a drug.

To investigate the cause, we performed a lymphocyte stimulation test (LST) with terbinafine as previously described [2,3]. H-thymidine incorporation was significantly increased by the addition of 2.2 x 10^-6 M terbinafine (corresponding to Cmax) to the peripheral lymphocyte culture, with a stimulation index of 2.8. The patient was treated with oral methylprednisolone 20 mg/d and topical betamethasone butyrate propionate ointment with discontinuation of terbinafine. The eruption improved remarkably within 2 weeks, leaving only residual pigmentation. A patch test with 10% terbinafine was positive after treatment. Based on the clinical course and laboratory findings, the rash was diagnosed as psoriatic erythroderma possibly due to terbinafine.

T helper type 17 (Th17) cells appear to play an important role in the pathogenesis of psoriasiform drug eruption [4], and IL-23 from dendritic cells has an important role in activating IL-17 production from Th17 cells [5]. Furthermore, an IL-17 antagonist was recently reported to improve psoriatic erythroderma [6]. Therefore, it has been speculated that the IL-23/IL-17 axis might also contribute to the pathogenesis of drug-induced psoriatic erythroderma. Furthermore, IL-23 from dendritic cells has been found to play an important role in an imiquimod-induced psoriasis mouse model [7]. To clarify the role of IL-23 in this case of psoriatic erythroderma, we used ELISA to measure the level of this cytokine in culture supernatant following LST, and found that it was significantly increased by terbinafine stimulation (Figure E). This finding indicates that IL-23 might be involved in the pathogenesis of psoriatic erythroderma. Because of the limited number of cases, further analysis is necessary to clarify the role of IL-23 in the pathogenesis of psoriatic erythroderma.
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Oxaliplatin, as one of the major cytotoxic agents, is used extensively to treat colorectal cancer and other gastrointestinal malignancies [1]. Its increasing use has led to a growing number of reports of oxaliplatin hypersensitivity reactions (OHSRs), and some authors have described rates as high as 24.2% [2]. Hypersensitivity reactions do not only affect treatment, but also increase the cost of hospitalization and can even be life-threatening in the case of severe reactions [3]. Several methods are currently used to prevent OHSRs, such as desensitization, alteration of infusion time, skin testing, and premedication. Some of these methods, however, are considered to be of debatable value or excessively complex. The aim of this study was to evaluate whether a prolonged oxaliplatin infusion schedule might be more effective in reducing OHSRs than standard infusion in a cohort of patients with gastrointestinal malignancies.

We retrospectively reviewed 775 patients with gastrointestinal malignancy patients who received retreatment with oxaliplatin at our hospital from December 2009 to January 2016. The patients were divided into 2 groups: group 1, consisting of 597 patients, treated with the standard oxaliplatin infusion schedule, and group 2, consisting of 178 patients, treated with a prolonged schedule. The prolonged schedule included 2 steps. In step 1, one-fifth of the total dose of oxaliplatin was added to 500 mL of 5% glucose and intravenously administered at a uniform drip speed for 1 hour. In step 2, the remaining volume (four-fifths of the total dose) was added to 500 mL of 5% glucose and again administered intravenously at a uniform speed for 6 hours. Infusion was stopped after step 1 in the event of an OHSR. In the standard schedule, oxaliplatin was given as a 2-hour intravenous infusion in 500 mL at a rate of about 60 drips per minute. The National Cancer Institute Common Toxicity Criteria for Adverse Events version 3.0 was used to grade OHSRs. Patients were identified as having an OHSR if they experienced at least 1 of the following symptoms after receiving oxaliplatin: a skin reaction (rash or erythema), bronchospasm, laryngospasm, hypotension or anaphylaxis [4]. The OHSR grading system is as follows: grade 1, transient flushing or rash and drug fever <38˚C; grade 2, rash, flushing, urticaria, dyspnea, drug fever ≥38˚C; grade 3, symptomatic bronchospasm, with or without urticaria, indication for parenteral medication, allergy-related edema/angioedema, hypotension; and grade 4, anaphylaxis [1].

Eighty-seven patients were identified as having had an OHSR in this study. The patients with prolonged infusion had a lower risk of OHSRs, as the incidence was much lower than in the standard infusion group (3.4% vs 13.6%, P < .01; Table). The difference retained statistical significance (P < .05) in 4 groups of patients: patients treated with oxaliplatin for the first time, patients treated with more than 6 cycles, patients with a history of drug allergy, and patients previously exposed to platinum (Table). Patients in the prolonged infusion group had relatively mild clinical features (mainly mild rash) that resolved quickly. Common systemic symptoms were fever, rash, and pruritus. The clinical significance of our findings is that the prolonged infusion schedule is probably useful for reducing OHSRs in patients with gastrointestinal cancer.

Patient desensitization, modifications to infusion time, skin tests, and premedication are common methods used to prevent OHSRs in clinical practice. Desensitization protocols have proven beneficial, but are relatively complex, time-consuming, and labor-intensive [2]. Skin testing can help to identify patients at risk for HSRs but it cannot accurately predict the severity of the reactions or reduce their incidence. Premedication (with dexamethasone) seems to be a good choice and is commonly used to reduce OHSRs [5], but some experts think that lower doses of dexamethasone are probably associated with OHSRs [6]. Therefore, compared with the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Prolonged Infusion (% No. of Reactions/No. of Patients)</th>
<th>Standard Infusion (% No. of Reactions/No. of Patients)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3.4, 6/178</td>
<td>13.6, 81/597</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First-time treatment</td>
<td>1.0, 1/98</td>
<td>10.1, 20/198</td>
<td>&lt;.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;6 cycles</td>
<td>3.4, 2/59</td>
<td>14.3, 26/182</td>
<td>&lt;.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of drug allergy</td>
<td>7.2, 5/69</td>
<td>20.0, 32/160</td>
<td>&lt;.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior exposure to platinum</td>
<td>3.6, 1/28</td>
<td>20.3, 15/74</td>
<td>&lt;.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
above methods, the prolonged infusion protocol is probably the simplest and easiest method for reducing OHSRs. This protocol may also reduce the rate of HSRs to carboplatin. O’Cearbhaill et al [7] reported a significantly lower incidence of carboplatin HSRs with a prolonged infusion protocol compared with a standard 30-minute infusion schedule (3% vs 21%, \( P < .01 \)) by univariate analysis.

One limitation of our study is that we did not contemplate certain management strategies or novel diagnostic tools including skin tests and IgE for oxaliplatin-based therapy. Our observations should be further investigated and validated in larger clinical studies.

Many systemic OHSR-related symptoms including fever, rash, pruritus, and other moderate to severe allergic symptoms consisting of abdominal cramping, flushing, wheeze, diarrhea, and shock have been reported for the standard oxaliplatin infusion protocol [8]. In this study, OHSRs in patients treated with standard infusion were also relatively severe (13 grade 1 reactions, 62 grade 2 reactions, 5 grade 3 reactions, and 1 grade 4 reaction). By contrast, of the 6 patients who developed an OHSR with the prolonged protocol, 2 had a grade 1 reaction and 4 had a grade 2 reaction, and the symptoms resolved quickly. This observation of less severe reactions with the prolonged schedule is supported by a previous study [9] and can probably be explained by the decreased toxicity associated with the longer infusion time.

In conclusion, prolonged infusion is a feasible approach for reducing the incidence or severity of OHSRs during the treatment of gastrointestinal malignancies.
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Food allergy is common, especially when a particular allergen is ingested, although allergic symptoms can sometimes be produced by skin contact or inhalation of volatile food antigens. Exposure to these allergens is often seen in occupational environments but it is also relatively common in nonoccupational settings, such as the home. In the majority of patients, food-particle inhalation induces respiratory symptoms, which can be nasal (rhinorrhea, sneezing, nasal congestion) or ocular (tearing, redness, irritation), or affect the lower respiratory tract (cough, wheezing). Patients can also develop skin manifestations or, though rarely, anaphylaxis [1,2].

Swiss chard (Beta vulgaris L. cicla) is a widely consumed vegetable in Spain. It belongs to the Chenopodiaceae family, along with spinach, beets, chenopod, and salsola.

Here, we report the case of a 54-year-old woman who developed cough, conjunctival hyperemia, chemosis, and eyelid angioedema (identified by a physician) a few minutes after handling Swiss chard. There was no hemodynamic compromise and the symptoms resolved after systemic corticosteroid administration.

The patient did not experience any symptoms on handling beet or spinach or on ingesting these foods or boiled Swiss chard.

We performed a skin prick test with a series of common aeroallergens, Swiss chard, lipid transfer protein, and profilin (ALK-Abello) and prick-prick tests with raw Swiss chard, spinach, sugar beet, lettuce, and onion. Positive results were obtained for grass pollen, olive, Cupressus, Plantago, Artemisia, Swiss chard, and profilin.

At baseline, the methacholine challenge test was negative, the fraction of exhaled nitric oxide (FeNO) was 30.8 ppb, and induced sputum (analyzed by flow cytometry) showed an eosinophil count of 0.31%.

A specific inhalation challenge was performed after obtaining signed authorization from the patient. This test was carried out in a room measuring 7 m², where the patient handled raw Swiss Chard, as she typically did at home, for a total of 65 minutes in periods of 5, 20, and 40 minutes. This challenge did not bring about any changes in FEV1 over a 24-hour period. FEV1 and peak expiratory flow were monitored with a computerized asthma monitor (Amos, Jaeger) for 1 day every hour except when the patient was sleeping.

The bronchial challenge with methacholine was performed 24 hours after the specific inhalation challenge and showed a positive result (provocation concentration that caused a 20% decrease in FEV1 of 0.74 mg/mL. No changes in FeNO were observed and the induced sputum showed an increase in eosinophils (2.21%).

Proteins from raw and cooked Swiss chard were extracted by magnetic stirring (overnight at 4°C) in phosphate-buffered saline (pH 8) at 3% wt/vol. Extracts were clarified by centrifugation (10 000 rpm for 60 minutes at 4°C) and dialyzed against distilled water before being filtered and freeze-dried. Protein concentration was estimated using the Bradford assay [3]. Protein profiles of raw and cooked Swiss chard were analyzed by SDS-PAGE under reducing conditions. Proteins bands (6-200 kDa) were detected in both extracts using colloidal blue Coomassie (Sigma-Aldrich).

Immunodetection of proteins bound to specific IgE contained in patient serum was performed following the protocol established by Gamez et al [4]. Specific IgE-binding bands with an apparent molecular weight of 30 kDa were observed in the raw Swiss-chard extract (Figure). In the case
of cooked Swiss-chard extract, specific IgE protein recognition was absent.

The immunoreactive protein was excised from colloidal blue Coomassie-stained gel and digested with trypsin. The resulting peptide mixture was analyzed by Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption/Ionization Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS). Protein identification by MALDI-TOF MS was performed as previously described [5]. One novel allergen was identified as a chloroplast chlorophyll a/b binding protein with a molecular weight of 28 kDa (Mowse score, 262; P < .05). This same protein had been previously identified as a celery allergen called *Api g 3*. Alignment of the 2 protein sequences revealed a 37% identity. This lower identity is likely due to the phylogenetic distance between the 2 vegetables.

Due to the similarity described with protein celery, the patient was questioned again about any symptoms displayed with other vegetables, and she reported no reactions of any type on eating or handling celery. However, a prick-prick test with celery was positive. We performed SDS-PAGE using a similar procedure to that described for Swiss chard in a previous paragraph. In this test, some protein bands between 6 kDa and 200 kDa were observed, although immunoblotting with this extract and the patient serum did not reveal any specific IgE-binding bands.

Cases of asthma due to Swiss chard have been described in which certain proteins were identified by immunoblotting. For instance, González-Mancebo et al [6] described a band corresponding to a peptide of 42 KDa and Valbuena et al [7] described several bands with a molecular weight of 16, 33, 45, 70, 80, and 92 KDa. Neither of the groups, however, sequenced the peptides found. There has also been a report of a case of rhinoconjunctivitis and asthma caused by the inhalation of vapor from boiling Swiss chard in which cross-reactivity with grass pollen was detected by radioallergosorbent inhibition [8]. Our patient was sensitized to certain pollens, but she only exhibited symptoms when handling Swiss chard.

In summary, we have reported the case of a patient with allergy to raw Swiss chard in which an IgE mechanism was demonstrated. Asthma due to the handling of raw Swiss chard is suspected. To our knowledge, this is the first report to identify a new allergen in raw Swiss-chard extract. This allergen is a chloroplast chlorophyll a/b binding protein.
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Despite attempts made to improve asthma management through the development and implementation of clinical practice guidelines, the routine clinical care of patients with asthma is frequently marked by inefficiencies that contribute significantly to the cost of care. In addition, there are no validated standards or indicators that can help to ensure the correct application and implementation of these guidelines. In this study, we critically appraised and optimized the various diagnostic, therapeutic, and care plan options for patients with asthma. The goal of this project was to establish parameters for improving the care of patients with asthma through the development and validation of quality standards and indicators for asthma management. Although standards and indicators can be established from recommendations, protocols, consensus, and clinical practice guidelines, this project was based exclusively on the recommendations of the Spanish Guidelines for Asthma Management (GEMA 4.0, Guía Española para el Manejo del Asma) [1].

A panel of 65 experts in asthma care participated in the Asmaforum II project, developed by a multidisciplinary working group created to develop scientific projects and offer solutions for the management of asthma. To coordinate this group, a scientific committee was established to manage the project and regularly consult the rest of the members. Seventeen experts (2 coordinators, 6 allergists, 6 pulmonologists, and 2 primary care physicians) formed the scientific committee. The project consisted of 5 phases: 1) identification and organization
of the recommendations contained in the GEMA, 2) selection and review of standards, 3) expert consultation for approving standards, 4) development of quality of care indicators, and 5) prioritization of indicators.

Eighty-two recommendations from the GEMA were identified and grouped according to the following topics: diagnosis (n=26), nonpharmacological treatment (n=14), pharmacological treatment (n=34), and monitoring (n=8). The scientific committee then filtered these recommendations according to their relevance and feasibility in terms of addressing minimum quality of care standards in patients with asthma. They selected 43 (52%) of the 82 recommendations originally identified. These recommendations were presented to the panel of experts, who issued a quantitative assessment based on their relevance, and discussed the most controversial points. Using these assessments and expert opinions, the scientific committee created a shortlist of 35 recommendations.

In a subsequent phase, several of these 35 recommendations were merged or eliminated for not being specific enough to establish an indicator. An expert technical team in healthcare quality indicators developed 20 records using the remaining recommendations (Table). Finally, with the aim of identifying the most significant indicators, a final prioritization was made based on the combination of 2 concepts: the power or effectiveness of the indicator for establishing asthma quality of care and the possibility of applying the indicator to data from common healthcare databases. After this initial prioritization, the top 2 indicators for each scenario were labeled as priority indicators (Table).

The expert group agreed that a diagnosis based on objective evidence is crucial. Spirometry and the bronchodilator test are the most frequently used tests. However, the group also discussed whether fractional exhaled nitric oxide (FeNO) measurements were necessary. Some considered that they were neither necessary nor decisive while others expressed doubts. Studies of allergic sensitization in patients with suspected allergic asthma were also considered a priority. Skin prick testing was determined to be the method of choice due to its high sensitivity, low cost, and immediacy. Other tests such as specific IgE measurement have the same meaning as skin prick tests, but are less sensitive and more expensive [2].

Regarding nonpharmacological treatment options, smoking cessation must be considered a priority in patients with asthma. Asthma patients who smoke have more severe symptoms, worse response to treatment with glucocorticoids, and more rapid loss of lung function than nonsmokers [3]. Regular review of medical charts is also recommended to monitor should include, at least, a specific and complete medical history, a detailed physical examination, and a forced spirometry test.

These recommendations and indicators should help to improve the inefficiencies observed in the management of patients with asthma. Although 2 recommendations were prioritized as the most relevant in each group, the other recommendations should also be taken into account as they also reflect many of the current weaknesses that could be improved in asthma care plans.
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### Table. Final indicators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>Total Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>DIAGNOSIS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnostic confirmation. Spirometry and bronchodilator test</td>
<td>Number of patients diagnosed with asthma using spirometry x 100 / Number of patients diagnosed with asthma</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitization study in allergic asthma</td>
<td>Number of patients with a diagnosed history of suspected allergic asthma included in a sensitization study with different allergens x 100 / Number of asthmatic patients with suspected allergic asthma</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnostic confirmation. Peak expiratory flow/fractional exhaled nitric oxide, spirometric monitoring, nonspecific bronchial hyperreactivity test</td>
<td>Number of asthma patients not diagnosed by spirometry administered another objective test x 100 / Number of asthma patients not diagnosed by spirometry</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnostic confirmation. Allergic rhinitis/nasal polyposis</td>
<td>Number of patients with asthma studied for allergic rhinitis or nasal polyposis x 100 / Number of patients diagnosed with asthma</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnostic confirmation. Aspirin-exacerbated respiratory disease</td>
<td>Number of patients with asthma and chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps studied for aspirin-exacerbated respiratory disease x 100 / Number of patients diagnosed with asthma</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnostic confirmation. Work-related asthma</td>
<td>Number of patients with adult-onset asthma evaluated for work-related asthma x 100 / Number of adults diagnosed with asthma</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NONPHARMACOLOGICAL TREATMENT</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking cessation</td>
<td>Number of asthmatic smokers with a documented recommendation for smoking cessation x 100 / Number of asthmatic smokers</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational plan for asthmatics</td>
<td>Number of asthmatic patients with asthma included in an educational plan x 100 / Number of patients diagnosed with asthma</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree of adherence to treatment</td>
<td>Number of asthmatic patients with a documented evaluation of adherence to treatment x 100 / Number of patients diagnosed with asthma</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PHARMACOLOGICAL TREATMENT</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment of choice for persistent asthma</td>
<td>Number of patients in treatment for persistent asthma receiving inhaled glucocorticoids x 100 / Number of patients in treatment for persistent asthma</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Treatment of asthma in pregnant women
Pregnant women can receive drugs common asthma maintenance treatments
(β- adrenergic agonists and inhaled corticosteroids)

Pharmacological treatment of allergic rhinitis in patients with asthma
Pharmacological treatment of allergic rhinitis in cases of asthma and moderate
to severe rhinitis consists of oral or topical nasal antihistamines, or intranasal
glucocorticoids alone or in combination with antihistamines.

Pharmacological treatment of nasal polyposis and concomitant asthma with topical
intranasal glucocorticoids
High doses of continuous topical intranasal corticosteroid therapy are
recommended in patients with asthma and nasal polyposis.

Treatment of choice for uncontrolled severe asthma
Treatment of patients with uncontrolled severe asthma should include the
addition of drugs such as tiotropium (if chronic airflow limitation is present)
and/or omalizumab (in patients with allergic asthma phenotype) to
pharmacological therapy.

MONITORING

Periodic monitoring of exacerbations
Exacerbations should be specifically evaluated at regular periods.

Periodic monitoring of patients
Regular monitoring of patients in scheduled medical visits is needed,
even in the absence of exacerbations.

Control evaluation. Use of validated questionnaires
In addition to standard questioning, validated questionnaires on asthma
symptoms (preferably the Asthma Control Test) should be administered
to assess level of asthma control and results should be recorded.

Monitoring of asthma control level
Level of asthma control should be determined by the Asthma Control Test,
objective lung function tests (spirometry, peak flow), and recording of
exacerbations.

Dynamic evaluation of asthma exacerbations
Dynamic evaluation and evaluation of treatment response during an
asthma attack must be conducted in patients with acute asthma to assess
the procedure to follow.

Classification of exacerbation severity
Patients who experience a moderate to severe exacerbation should be
evaluated using an objective measurement of the degree of airflow
obstruction (peak flow or forced expiratory flow in the first second)

The first 2 indicators in each section are considered priority indicators.
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Endovascular devices containing nickel can elicit systemic allergic dermatitis [1-3]. It has also been reported that allergy to nickel can occasionally provoke urticaria [4] and anaphylaxis [5]. Contact hypersensitivity has been implicated in the complications of prosthetic valve replacement and restenosis of cardiac stents and other endovascular devices [6]. We report a case of severe chronic urticaria after mitral annuloplasty with a ring implant made of Elgiloy (an alloy consisting of cobalt 40%, chromium 20%, nickel 15%, molybdenum 7%, and manganese 2%) in a patient with contact hypersensitivity to nickel.

A 57-year-old male presented with severe urticaria and angioedema 2 weeks after implantation of a mitral valve with a Physio type annuloplasty ring (Carpentier Edwards). Urticular lesions persisted despite treatment with high doses of antihistamines and oral corticosteroids and discontinuation of cardiac medications (acenocoumarol, acetylsalicylic acid, amiodarone, bisoprolol, and omeprazole) and antiplatelet treatment (Figure). The patient had a history of dermatitis after contact with metals, although he had not been specifically assessed before surgery. Patch tests were carried out with the baseline series of the Spanish Contact Dermatitis and Skin Allergy Research Group (GEIDAC) and a metal series (Bial-Aristegui). A positive result was observed for nickel (+++) on D2 and D4. The result of patch testing with Elgiloy was negative. Food allergy was ruled out, and serology testing for viruses was negative. Total IgE was 180 kU/L (reference range, 0-100 kU/L) and serum tryptase was 3.4 µg/L (reference range, <11.4 µg/L). An echocardiogram confirmed the normal functioning of the annuloplasty ring. The Physio type ring safety information showed a total nickel ion release of <2 ppb after 60-day immersion in a physiological solution using inductively coupled plasma, with no evidence of Elgiloy band corrosion. Nickel was not detected in the patient’s serum by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry, with a detection threshold of 20 ppb (ng/mL), or with electrothermal atomic absorption spectroscopy, with a detection threshold of 3 ppb (ng/mL).

Treatment with anti-IgE was rejected by the patient, who requested that the implant be removed. One year after the onset of symptoms, the ring was removed. During the early postoperative period, he experienced an exacerbation of urticaria that required temporary intensification of therapy with antihistamines and corticosteroids. Since then (24 months), he has remained asymptomatic without medication.

In order to study the influence of nickel exposure on cell activation we performed basophil and lymphocyte activation tests using flow cytometry–based assays. The results are considered positive when activation is >5% or the stimulation index is >2. Basophil activation after exposure to 2 dilutions (1/100, 1/10) of nickel chloride 0.01 M and cobalt chloride 0.01 M was measured based on the expression of CD63 using a commercial kit (BASOTEST). Activation of mononuclear cells after incubation with 5 different concentrations of nickel chloride (100, 200, 500, 750, and 1000 µg/mL) and 4 different concentrations of cobalt chloride (0.1, 0.25, 0.5, and 1 mM) was evaluated by observing changes in CD69 expression. Both tests, which were performed after resolution of the episode, yielded negative results.

In the case we report, urticaria was not initially attributable to nickel sensitization, although after some months of continuous and disabling urticaria, the valve was removed and symptoms resolved completely. This outcome suggests that urticaria was induced by the nickel in the annuloplasty ring implant, to which the patient was allergic. However, it was not
possible to demonstrate release of nickel from the implanted device or accumulation of nickel in serum. Moreover, negative results in the basophil activation test suggest that an IgE-mediated mechanism is unlikely. Immunological studies performed in nickel-sensitized patients with respiratory symptoms, urticaria, and/or angioedema, showed intense lymphocyte proliferation (lymphocyte transformation test stimulation index) and higher IL-4 and IL-10 production than those who had only oral symptoms or systemic dermatitis [5]. In the case reported here, we used an alternative to the lymphocyte transformation test, as expression of CD69 after in vitro stimulation correlates with cell proliferation. However, we failed to demonstrate expression of CD69 by mononuclear cells in the presence of nickel. A limitation of this approach is that neither of the in vitro tests has been clinically validated. There is a clear temporal relationship between the episode of chronic severe urticaria and the implantation of the annuloplasty ring. It seems unlikely that the nickel contained in the annuloplasty ring was responsible for the symptoms of urticaria. Moreover, the metal staples used in the sternotomy (which also contained nickel) were well tolerated by the patient. We failed to find alternative mechanisms to explain the episode of chronic severe urticaria, which resolved after the removal of the annuloplasty ring prosthesis.
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