Supplementary material

- 1. Table S1: Bibliographic search strategy on practical management of patients with sensitization to LTP
- 2. Study design
- 3. Table S2: Survey, response results and degree of agreement

J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol 2024; Vol. 34 (6): 395-403

Table S1. Documentary search strategy on practical management of LTP sensitized patients

Search	ISSUE	SYNTAXIS	Source	Results	Selected
number				(N)	(N)
1	GENERAL	LTP sensibilización	Google	NRR	0
2	GENERAL	LTP SEAIC	Google	NRR	0
3a	GENERAL	("lipid transfer protein" [Supplementary Concept]) AND "Allergy and Immunology"[Mesh] Filters applied: in the last 10 years, English, Spanish.		0	0
3b	GENERAL	Food Hypersensitivity [mesh] and lipid transfer protein [Title/abstract] Filters applied: English, Spanish.	Pubmed	206	0
4	Hipersensitivity/allergy/LTP	("Hypersensitivity"[Mesh] OR "Desensitization, Immunologic"[Mesh] OR "Food Hypersensitivity"[Mesh] OR "Allergy and Immunology"[Mesh]) AND ("lipid		124	5

		transfer protein" [Supplementary Concept] OR "LTP") Filters: in the last 10 years, English, Spanish.			
5	Food allergy/vegetable proteins/sensitization/LTP	("Hypersensitivity"[Mesh] OR "Desensitization, Immunologic"[Mesh] OR "Food Hypersensitivity"[Mesh] OR "Allergy and Immunology"[Mesh]) AND ("lipid transfer protein" [Title/Abstract] OR "LTP"[Title/Abstract])Filters: in the last 10 years, English, Spanish.	Pubmed	169	20
6	Vegetable proteins/sensibilization/LTP	"Plant Proteins"[Mesh] and "Hypersensitivity"[Mesh] AND "LTP" Filters applied: English, Spanish.	Pubmed	127	2
7a	Cofactors/LTP/allergy	("Hypersensitivity"[Mesh] OR "Desensitization, Immunologic"[Mesh] OR "Food Hypersensitivity"[Mesh] OR "Allergy and Immunology"[Mesh]) AND ("lipid transfer protein" [Supplementary Concept] OR "LTP")	Pubmed	11	2

		AND ("co-factor" OR "cofactor" OR "co-factors" OR "cofactors") Filters: English, Spanish.			
7b	Cofactors/LTP/allergy	("Hypersensitivity"[Mesh] OR "Desensitization, Immunologic"[Mesh] OR "Food Hypersensitivity"[Mesh] OR "Allergy and Immunology"[Mesh]) AND ("lipid transfer protein" [Title/Abstract] OR "LTP") AND ("co- factor" OR "cofactor" OR "co-factors" OR "cofactors")		16	0
8	Inmunotherapy/ desensitization/LTP	("Sublingual Immunotherapy"[Mesh] OR "Immunotherapy"[Mesh] OR "Desensitization, Immunologic"[Mesh]) AND ("lipid transfer protein" [Title/Abstract]) Filters: English, Spanish.		21	1
9	Guidelines	guidelines LTP allergy/ guías LTP alergia	Google	First 20 results reviewed	2

10	Expert additional articles/	NR	NR	NRR	0
	guidelines				
11	Expert additional articles/	NR	NR	NR	3
	guidelines				

N, means number; NR, means not required; NRR, means no relevant results.

Study design (Supplementary information)

For the survey design, the scientific committee, integrated by the authors,

developed the questionnaire proposal with prioritized questions formulated in the

PICO (Patient, Intervention, Comparison, Outcomes) structured format (20, 21),

based on a literature review conducted in January 2021 (Table S1) and expert

opinion. An evidence synthesis was made from selected from the literature review,

based on relation with the topic and scientific relevance. An initial proposal of 69

questions was reviewed and relevance of question were externally validated

through a Delphi approach by eighteen expert allergists members of the Spanish

Society of Allergology and Clinical Immunology (SEAIC) belonging to the LTP

Allergy Interest Group, that constituted the validation group. The questionnaire

was made available to the validation group on an online platform that offered

space for panellists to include their comments. The degree of agreement was

assessed on a scale of 1 to 4 (1: strongly disagree, 2: moderately disagree, 3:

moderately agree, 4: strongly agree). For the interpretation of results, degrees of

consensus 1 and 2 were considered as disagreement, and 3 and 4 as agreement.

Questions that obtained an agreement equal to or greater than 80% were accepted

by consensus for the final survey. After the first round, the results and comments

of the validation group were analysed, and the relevant modifications were made,

to generate the second round of the guestionnaire

J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol 2024; Vol. 34 (6): 395-403

Tabla S2. Survey questions and answers, and agreement

QUESTION (Number of evaluable respondents)	Posible answers	Percentage of answers	Maximun agreement	Answer showing maximun agreement
DIAGNOSIS				
Clinical history (N=224)				
1. Do you ask systematically about the involvement of cofactors in the reaction(s)?	Yes	98.70%	98.70%	Yes
coractors in the reaction(s):	No	1.30%		
2. Do you ask in a structured way about tolerance to other	Yes	99.60%	99.60%	Yes
foods related to LTP syndrome not referred spontaneously?	No	0.40%	99.00%	163
	I use it	8.48%		
3. In patients with suspected sensitization/allergy to LTP, do you use a questionnaire to collect data on tolerance and habitual intake of a list of foods?	I do not use it but it would be useful I do not use it and it	86.20%	86.20%	I do not use it but it would be useful
	would not be useful	5.36%		
Risk assesment (N=224)				
4. Does co-sensitization to profilin and/or PR-10 modify the	Yes	57.60%	F7 C00/	V
management of your patients sensitized to LTP?	No	42.40%	57.60%	Yes
Skin test (N=202)				
Do you systematically perform the prick test with purified LTP or extract quantified in LTP in				
5. patients with suspected respiratory allergy?	Yes	55.40%	55.40%	Yes
	No	44.60%	33.40/0	100
6. food allergy screening?	Yes	94.60%	94.60%	Yes

	No	5.36%		
7. patients with suspected hypersensitivity to NSAIDs?	Yes	42.40%	57.60%	No
	No	57.60%	37.00%	INO
8. In patients with LTP allergy, do you systematically	Yes	48.70%	51.30%	NI -
perform prick tests with prefixed batteries of plant foods?	No	51.30%	31.30%	No
Determination of serum specific IgE and molecular diagnosis				
For the study of specific IgE in patients suspected of sensitization to LTP, how often do you use				
	Very frequently	72.99%		
	Frequently	21.80%	94.79%	Muy frecuente or frequently
9. singleplex technique (CAP/Immulite)? (N=211)	Ocasionally	3.79%		
	Rarely	1.42%		
	Never	0.00%		
	Very frequently	9.29%		
40 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Frequently	22.86%		
10.multiplex techniques such as microarrays (ISAC/ALEX)? (N=140)	Ocasionally	47.86%	47.86%	Ocasionally
(14-140)	Rarely	15.71%		
	Never	4.29%		
Oral food challenge				
	Very frequently	10.19%		
11 How often do you perform and shallongs to the	Frequently	26.21%		
11. How often do you perform oral challenge testing on patients with suspected LTP allergy? (N=206)	Ocasionally	45.63%	45.63%	Ocasionally
patients with suspected Lift unergy: (14-200)	Rarely	17.48%		
	Never	0.49%		

12. In your usual clinical practice, outside the context of clinical trials, why do you perform oral challenge testing on patients with suspected LTP allergy? (N=205, filtered by question 11)	Preferably for diagnosis of symptomatic food confirmation. Preferably for diagnosis of exclusion, to diagnose tolerance. Both equally. I do not perform challenge testing on these patients.	3.41% 79.51% 14.63% 2.44%	79.51%	Preferably for diagnosis of exclusion
In patients with suspected allergy to LTP, how often do you perform (n=200)				
13. challenge tests with foods of unknown tolerance showing sensitization?	Very frequently Frequently Ocasionally Rarely Never	8.50% 33.50% 39.00% 18.00% 1.00%	42%	Very frequently or frequently
14. challenge tests with plant foods involved in the history with negative diagnostic tests?	Very frequently Frequently Ocasionally Rarely Never	11.50% 38.50% 32.00% 15.50% 2.50%	50%	Very frequently or frequently

	Very frequently	2.50%		
	Frequently	14.00%		
15.food challenge tests associating cofactor?	Ocasionally	25.00%	59%	Rarely or never
	Rarely	25.00%		
	Never	34.00%		
16. In patients with sensitization to LTP, do you indicate the	Yes	43.30%		
introduction at home of foods with unknown tolerance in			56.70%	No
recent years and with negative tests? (N=224)	No	56.70%		
In your case, do you consider that not performing food challenge tests in patients suspected of LTP allergy is conditioned by(N=224)				
17. lack of resources (time, space, staff)?	Yes	66.07%		
17. lack of resources (time, space, start):	No	33.93%	66.07%	Yes
	Yes	33.93%		
18. lack of confidence in tolerance reproducibility in real life?	No	66.07%	66.07%	No
MANAGEMENT AND TREATMENT				
Treatment in case of reaction (N=224)				
¿How often do you include the adrenaline auto-injector in the emergency kit of the following patient profiles?				
	Very frequently	98.66%		
40 and a little of the little of the latest and the	Frequently	2.34%		
19. patients with allergy to LTP and severe symptoms, ie. systemic reaction such an anaphylaxis?	Ocasionally	0.00%	100.00%	Very frequently or frequently
systemic reaction such an anaphylaxis:	Rarely	0.00%		пециенну
	Never	0.00%		
	Very frequently	52.68%	77.23%	

	Frequently	24.55%		
20. patients with allergy to LTP and moderate symptoms,	Ocasionally	18.30%		Very frequently or
such as non-anaphylactic systemic reaction?	Rarely	3.57%		frequently
	Never	0.89%		
	Very frequently	2.23%		
	Frequently	7.59%		
21. patients with subclinical sensitization to LTP?	Ocasionally	18.75%	71.43%	Never or rarely
	Rarely	40.63%		
	Never	30.80%		
Long-term treatment (N=224)				
Exclusion/avoidance diet according to sensitization profile and clinical reactivity				
In patients allergic to any plant food due to LTP, does it generally indicate, in situations without cofactors				
22. avoid only the symptomatic food(s)?	Yes/No	Canceled		
23. avoid the food group (eg rosaceae, prunaceae, nuts) to	Yes	53.13%	53.13%	Yes
which the symptomatic food belongs to?	No	46.87%	33.13%	162
24 avoid all poolable fruit claire?	Yes	77.23%	77%	Yes
24. avoid all peelable fruit skins?	No	22.77%	77%	res
25. avoid plant foods showing sensitization and tolerated?	Yes	0.89%	99.11%	No
25. avoid plant 100ds showing sensitization and tolerated?	No	99.11%	99.11%	INO
26. avoid foods showing sensitization with unknown current	Yes	64.73%	64.7064	
tolerance?	No	35.26%	64.73%	Yes
27 avoid eating a fixed list of plant foods?	Yes	2.68%	07 22%	No
27. avoid cating a fixed list of plant foods:	No	97.32%	97.32%	140
27. avoid eating a fixed list of plant foods?	Yes	2.68%	97.32%	No

28. In patients allergic to food due to LTP, do you change the indication to avoid food or parts of it (eg, skin) if the reaction	Yes	50.89%	50.89%	Yes
suffered by the patient is associated or not with cofactors?	No	49.11%		
	Very frequently	30.36%		
29. In patients allergic to food due to LTP, do you	Frequently	46.43%		\/
recommend frequent consumption of tolerated plant foods	Ocasionally	12.95%	76.79%	Very frequently or frequently
showing sensitization, avoiding cofactors?	Rarely	8.48%		rrequeritiy
	Never	1.79%		
	Very frequently	15.18%		
	Frequently	16.52%		
30. In patients avoiding foods due to LTP allergy, how often do you indicate trace avoidance?	Ocasionally	25.45%	42.86%	Never or rarely
do you malcate trace avoluance:	Rarely	31.70%		
	Never	11.16%		
Cofactors management (N=224)				
21. De very informe to the metions about the relationship of	Verbally	23.66%		
31. Do you inform to the patient about the relationship of cofactors and LTP allergy?	Written form	2.23%	74.11%	Both ways
coractors and Life anergy:	Verbally and in			
	Written form	74.11%		
Specific immunotherapy				
32. In patients with LTP sensitization, have you ever used	Yes	73.66%	73.66%	Yes
peach sublingual immunotherapy? (N=224)	No	26.34%	73.00%	163

33. How often do you consider Pru p 3 sublingual immunotherapy indicated in patients allergic to plant-foods due to LTP? (N=224)	Never Between 1 and 25% of the patients. Between 26 and 50% of the patients	4.46% 75.00% 13.84%	75.00%	Between 1-25% of the patients
	More than 50% of the time.	6.70%		
	Very effective	12.05%		
34. In your opinion, is the commercialized LTP vaccine effective in patients allergic to plant-foods due to LTP? (N=224)	Quite effective	43.75%		Very o quite effective
	Neutral	30.80%	55.80%	
	Little effective	12.05%		enective
	No effective	1.34%		
¿ Based on your clinical practice, what is the importance of the following variables in your decision to prescribe specific immunotherapy to LTP allergic patients? (N=165, filtered by question 32)				
	Very important	70.30%		
	Important	24.85%		Many incorporate at an
35. symptoms severity	Neutral	3.03%	95.15%	Very important or important
	Little important	1.82%		mportant
	It is not important	0.00%		
	Very important	70.91%		
	Important	28.48%		Very important or
36. reaction frequency	Neutral	0.61%	99.39%	important
	Little important	0.00%		,
	It is not important	0.00%		

© 2024 Esmon Publicidad

Very important	77.58%			
Important	20.00%		Vary important or	
Neutral	2.42%	97.58%	Very important or important	
Little important	0.00%		mportant	
It is not important	0.00%			
Very important	64.85%			
Important	26.67%		Very important or important	
Neutral	6.67%	91.52%		
Little important	1.82%			
It is not important	0.00%			
Very important	18.18%			
Important	41.82%		Very important or important	
Neutral	30.91%	60.00%		
Little important	6.67%		important	
It is not important	2.42%			
Very important	11.16%	20.720/	Very important or	
Important	28.57%	39./3%	important	
Neutral	20.09%			
Little important	22.32%	40.400/	Little or not	
It is not important	17.86%	40.18%	important	
	Important Neutral Little important It is not important Very important Important It is not important It is not important It is not important Very important Important Important Intitle important Intitle important It is not important Intitle important Important Important Important Intitle important	Important 20.00% Neutral 2.42% Little important 0.00% It is not important 0.00% Very important 64.85% Important 26.67% Neutral 6.67% Little important 1.82% It is not important 0.00% Very important 18.18% Important 41.82% Neutral 30.91% Little important 6.67% It is not important 2.42% Very important 11.16% Important 2.42% Very important 2.42%	Important 20.00% Neutral 2.42% 97.58% Little important 0.00% It is not important 0.00% Very important 64.85% Important 26.67% Neutral 6.67% 91.52% Little important 1.82% It is not important 18.18% Important 41.82% Neutral 30.91% 60.00% Little important 6.67% It is not important 2.42% Very important 11.16% Important 28.57% Neutral 20.09% Little important 22.32% Little important 22.32%	

© 2024 Esmon Publicidad

41. treatment cost	Very important	18.30%		
	Important	39.73%		Mamiliana antant
	Neutral	25.00%	58.04%	Very important or important
	Little important	12.95%		
	It is not important	4.02%		
42. treatment duration	Very important	11.61%		
	Important	30.36%		
	Neutral	30.80%	41.96%	Very important or important
	Little important	22.32%		
	It is not important	4.91%		
43. rejection by the patient	Very important	34.82%		
	Important	39.73%		
	Neutral	18.75%	74.55%	Very important or important
	Little important	6.25%		
	It is not important	0.45%		
What is the most frequent reason to prescribe an immunotherapy to an LTP allergic patient (N=165, filtered by question 32)				
	Very frequently	55.76%		
	Frequently	32.73%	88.49%	Very frequently or frequently
44. expanding the diet?	Ocasionalmente	8.48%		
	Rarely	3.03%		
	Never	0.00%		

45. stopping the natural progression of LTP syndrome?	Very frequently	39.39%		
	Frequently	35.15%		Vary fraguently or
	Ocasionalmente	12.73%	74.54%	Very frequently or frequently
	Rarely	11.52%		requertity
	Never	1.21%		
46. decreasing the number of reactions, consequently improving the quality of life of patients?	Very frequently	75.15%		
	Frequently	24.24%		\/f
	Ocasionally	0.61%	99.39%	Very frequently or frequently
	Rarely	0.00%		rrequerity
	Never	0.00%		
47. How often did the patient refuse sublingual or oral immunotherapy with peach as a treatment once proposed? (N=165, filtered by question 32)	Very frequently	2.42%		
	Frequently	24.24%		
	Ocasionally	46.67%	46.67%	Ocasionally
	Rarely	24.85%		
	Never	1.82%		
Omalizumab (N=224)				
¿ How often do you prescribe omalizumab in patients sensitized to food contained LTP				
48. with severe or life-threatening reactions due to LTP allergy?	Very frequently	3.57%		
	Frequently	4.02%		
	Ocasionally	10.71%	81.72%	Rarely or never
	Rarely	22.32%		
	Never	59.40%		
49. with allergy to multiple plant foods?	Very frequently	1.34%		
	Frequently	1.79%	87.98%	Rarely or never
	Ocasionally	8.93%		

	Rarely	21.88%		
	Never	66.10%		
50. as previous treatment to start sublingual peach or oral juice immunotherapy?	Very frequently	0.45%		
	Frequently	0.45%		
	Ocasionally	6.70%	92.40%	Rarely or never
	Rarely	13.84%		·
	Never	78.57%		
Nutritionist referral (N=224)				
51. How often do you refer LTP allergic patients to a nutritionist due to this allergy?	Very frequently	0.89%		
	Frequently	5.80%		
	Ocasionally	24.55%	68.75%	Rarely or never
	Rarely	37.05%		
	Never	31.70%		

Agreement (≥80%) is represented in green cells, almost agreement (70-80%) in orange cells.