SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

METHODS:

Study design, setting, and subjects

In a transversal single-center cohort study, a total of 51 patients with walnut allergy from

Fundación Jiménez Díaz Hospital (Madrid, Spain) were recruited. All walnut allergic

patients included in the study were diagnosed during the years 2020-2021 and met the

criteria of the SEAIC Subcommittee on Adverse Food Reactions [1]. Walnut allergy was

diagnosed in patients having a clear history of adverse reactions due to walnut suggestive

of IgE-mediated allergy, showing positive skin prick-by-prick tests, or by positive sIgE

and/or food challenge tests to walnut. The exclusion criteria were those set out in the

EAACI document on standardization of food challenge tests [2]. A serum sample was

obtained from each patient and stored at -80° C until use.

The Fundación Jiménez Díaz Ethic Committee approved this study and written informed

consent was obtained from all subjects.

sIgE testing

Allergen-sIgE to nuts was measured by ImmunoCAP (ThermoFisher Scientific AB,

Uppsala, Sweden) following the manufacturer's recommendations. Besides, the

multiplex test system ALEX² (MacroArray Diagnostics GmbH, Wien, Austria) was

performed according to the manufacturer's instructions. sIgE levels were expressed in

kU/L and kU_A/L, and sIgE values \geq 0.35 kU/L and \geq 0.30 kU_A/L were considered positive,

respectively.

J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol 2024; Vol. 34(5)

© 2024 Esmon Publicidad

Skin Prick Tests (SPTs)

SPTs to nuts were performed nuts using commercial nut extracts (C.B.F. LETI S.A; Tres

Cantos, Spain), as well as with nuts in prick-by-prick test technique [3]. In addition, skin

tests were performed on a battery of commercially available pollen extracts (ALK-Abello,

Madrid, Spain). Histamine phosphate at 10 mg/mL and saline solution were used as

positive and negative controls, respectively. A weal diameter at least 3 mm larger than

the negative control was considered a positive reaction.

Statistical Analysis

Frequencies were expressed by absolute value and/or percentage, quantitative variables

by mean and standard deviation (SD), and for sIgE results, medians, and interquartile

range (IQR) were given. For statistical analyses, Shapiro-Wilk test, X² test, Student test

and the Mann-Whitney test were performed using IBM SPSS software (SPSS Inc.,

Chicago, IL, USA). The Figure S1 was generated using GraphPad Prism 10 (GraphPad

Software, Inc., La Jolla, USA). All analysis were 2-tailed and were considered significant

at p value < 0.05.

References

1. Ibáñez Sandín MDP, De La Hoz Caballer MB, Diéguez Pastor MC, Goikoetxea Lapresa MJ. Metodología diagnóstica en la alergia a los alimentos. In: Dávila, I, Lówrowi L Ologvibal. L Zubaldía L SEAIC (Sociadad Egração la Da Alergala da E

Jáuregui, I, Olaguibel, J, Zubeldía, J, SEAIC (Sociedad Española De Alergología E Inmunología Clínica). Tratado de Alergología. Madrid: ERGON, 2016; 1072-92.

initatiologia Cililica). Hataa de Morgologia. Madria. Etc. Civ., 2010, 1072 72.

2. Bindslev-Jensen C, Ballmer-Weber BK, Bengtsson U, Blanco C, Ebner C, Hourihane J, et al. Standardization of food challenges in patients with immediate reactions to

foods--position paper from the European Academy of Allergology and Clinical

Immunology. Allergy. 2004 Jul;59(7):690–7.

3. Heinzerling L, Mari A, Bergmann KC, Bresciani M, Burbach G, Darsow U, et al. The

skin prick test – European standards. Clin Transl Allergy. 2013 Feb 1;3:3.